UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/661,983 | 09/12/2003 Richard L. Wilder | | IGT1P202/P-902 | 9326 | |
| 22434 BEYER WEAV | 7590 03/03/200 'ER LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| P.O. BOX 7025 | | RENDON, CHRISTIAN E | | | |
| OAKLAND, CA | A 94012-0230 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3714 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/03/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/661,983 | WILDER ET AL. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | CHRIS | HAN E. RENDON | 3/14 | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ears on t | he cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICA | ATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (eal (with a | 1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance v | , or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of th | ne final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | Advisory Advisory Advisory | ction, or (2) the date set forth i SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | (f). | • • | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension an shortened than three | id the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply origir | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | oliance wi | th 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | nsion the | reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | but prior | to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | on and/or search (see NOT | E below); | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | tter form i | for appeal by materially red | ucing or simplifying th | ne issues for | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresnoi | nding number of finally reje | cted claims | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | - | raing namber of finally reje | otoa olaimo. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | attached Notice of Non-Cor | npliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | (- | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk | | f submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | 1 / | , | 9 | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | ıt before o | or on the date of filing a No | tice of Appeal will not | be entered | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | , | | | |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Item #13. | ıt does N | OT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB | /08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| /XUAN M. THAI/ | (| CHRISTIAN E RENDÓN | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714 | E | Examiner Art Unit: 3714 | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant's arguments reconsideration without making any further amendments fails to place the claims in condition for allowance. The claim limitations never claimed the shape of the lenslet only the use of a display with a perspective view higher then one. The prior art combination teaches the use and creation of a display with a perspective view higher than one; therefore the prior art meets the claim limitation even if the applicant claims only a perspective view higher than one using cylinder lenslet is taught.